CHAPTER 10

Functional Relationships Between Arbitrary Stimuli and Arbitrary Responses: “Operant Conditioning”

I. Introduction
   A. Arbitrary stimulus - arbitrary response
      1. Thorndike / S-S* / “operant”
      2. Conceptual follow-up
         a. contrast Pavlovian and Thorndikian
         b. commonalities of Pavlovian and Thorndikian

II. Prototypical Situation Resulting in Functional Relationships Between Arbitrary Stimuli and Arbitrary Responses

III. Prototypical Situation Resulting in Functional Relationships Between Arbitrary Stimuli and Arbitrary Responses
   A. The origin of the capacity for associative short-term adaptation
   B. Meaningful explanation
      1. mentalistic useless “explanations”
      2. correlative or “behavioral” explanations
   C. Methodology
      1. procedures
         a. better signal to noise ratio
         b. better generality
         c. attack largest sources of variance first
      2. better signal to noise ratio
         a. operant apparatus for pigeons
         b. operant apparatus for rats
         c. instrumental apparatus for rats
      3. running procedure
         a. discrete trials
         b. free operant
         c. conceptual follow-up: Reality is gray scale
      4. dependent measures
         a. running time
b. running speed

c. latency

d. choice

e. rate

   i. graphical display technology for understanding changes in rate: the cumulative record

f. IRT distribution

   i. graphical display technology for understanding changes in IRTs: dot plots

g. other
CHAPTER 10

Functional Relationships Between Arbitrary Stimuli and Arbitrary Responses: “Operant Conditioning”

I. Introduction
   A. Functional Relationships Between Arbitrary Stimuli and Arbitrary Responses

   The bottom layer of this structure represents behaviors which are totally reflexive or instinctual and do not show associative short-term adaptation. They are noted for context and were discussed in Chapter 5. The second layer represents reflex conditioning. These adaptations required ontogenetic contingencies for the arbitrary stimuli to come to control the fixed responses. They were discussed in Chapter 7. The third layer represents a second type of associative short-term adaptation, this being the control of arbitrary behaviors by arbitrary stimuli.

An arbitrary stimulus controls an arbitrary response.

\[ S \rightarrow R \]
II. Prototypical Situation Resulting in Functional Relationships Between Arbitrary Stimuli and Arbitrary Responses

If a cat is confined in a box which requires a series of arbitrary behaviors before the escape door opens, then the cat will acquire those behaviors and will perform them more rapidly and with a shorter latency with each successive incarceration. The task of a student of behavior is to understand how and why the cat comes to be adaptive. What led to the cat appearing “smart”? How can the experimenter come to know what "was really going on"?

III. Conceptual Precursor: Methodological Issues Review

1. General Paradigm

There have been two basic procedures used to investigate associative short-term adaptation. The two behavioral processes are the same, so for most purposes the terms are interchangeable. However, when used to refer to distinct procedures the terminology is as follows:

   a. Instrumental Conditioning

      These procedures were most popular in the 1940 through to the late 1950s. They typically involved spatial movement behaviors such as maze learning or problem box escape. They have been supplanted by operant procedures.

   b. Operant Conditioning

      These procedures began in the late 1950s and are current today. They involve testing conditions such as key pecking or lever pressing where little spatial change occurs and the behavior of interest is the repetition of some simple act.

2. Subjects / Apparatus

   a. Operant Apparatus for Pigeons

      The vast majority of research on short-term adaptation is conducted with pigeons in experimental chambers which provide stimuli, operandas, and reinforcers. Pigeons are small, easy to care for, inexpensive, and easy to handle. They are essentially pests to humans, like roaches and rats. They are visually dominant and have acute color vision. Because visual stimuli are the easiest to control and one of the least expensive ways for the experimenter to communicate to the subject. Additionally, pigeons have a behavior (pecking) which they can emit for long periods which is easy to transduce and, finally, they eat a food which is inexpensive and easy to dispense. That food provides a good reinforcer. For these many reasons pigeons are an ideal research subject.
A typical apparatus is shown below.

\[\text{Pigeon Chamber}\]

\[\text{Rat Chamber}\]

**b. Operant Apparatus for Rats**

Rats are the second most popular subject for research on conditioning. They are tested in an apparatus similar to that for a pigeon. Rats are used for many of the same reasons as pigeons. Because rats are not very visual, most often tones, or lights which are either on or off, or left or right are used as stimuli.

The apparatus is shown below.

\[\text{Maze} \quad \text{T} \quad \text{E} \quad \text{Straight}\]

**c. Instrumental Apparatus for Rats**

Early research designs had rats running through Hampton Court mazes then in T mazes, then E mazes, and finally in straight alleys or “runways.”

The motivation underlying the evolution in the apparatus was to simplify the task down to a single “behavioral atom” so that the factors affecting it could be studied without confound. It was quite like purifying a chemical so that its true properties could be measured, or reducing a nonfunctional computer program down to just a few lines of code in order to find the bug. In the case of the rat maze, the task was to understand the most basic determinants of associative learning by removing complexity.
3. Running Procedure  
  
  a. Discrete Trials  
  Forced start and stop time exemplified by taking a rat out of its home cage and letting it run down the alley then removing it to its home cage for 24 hours before the next trial. This was common in instrumental conditioning procedures.

  b. Free Operant  
  Exemplified by a pigeon responding on a VI schedule which is implemented on equipment mounted in its home cage. The bird would have access to the schedule 24 hours a day, without interruption. Variants of this procedure are most typical of operant conditioning.

  Operant conditioning procedures expose animals to a procedure which provides numerous opportunities for food throughout an experimental session which lasts about 1 hour, and is administered daily.

4. Dependent Measures  
Dependent measures are varied. Most typically, we will be interested in the acquisition of behavior as the result of exposure to a task (left frame), or the specification of the final equilibrium (or asymptotic performance) resulting from sufficient exposure.

  One of the most seminal points made by Skinner was that an operant was to be defined functionally not as a muscular act. The operant was envisioned from the start as a response class rather than a motor output. If a rat is conditioned to press the lever with its right paw and then that paw is taped down, the rat will probably use its left paw or its nose. From the functional perspective, the behavior has not changed, when the red light goes on the rat presses the lever. Skinner also defined the stimulus functionally. The stimulus is all those stimuli in the class which control the same operant. Occasionally, an ill informed philosopher or sophist points out that S-R psychology cannot be valid because when people have their trained arm tied down they can dial a phone number with their other arm. Again, this misses the functional definition of an operant.

  a. Running Time  
  Elapsed time from starting point to finishing point. Numbers get smaller with experience. Used almost exclusively in instrumental situations with alleys.

  b. Running Speed  
  The distance covered per unit of time. Numbers get larger with experience. Again, used almost exclusively with alley research.
c. Latency
Time from stimulus onset to response. This measure is in widespread use in both instrumental and operant procedures.

d. Choice
Which of two or more alternative responses occur. This measure is also in widespread use in both instrumental and operant procedures.

e. Resistance to Change
   i. Extinction

   ii. Momentum

f. Rate
   Typical operant methodology generates a repetitive discrete short duration behavior such as a key peck or a lever press as its behavioral atom. The behavior is novel. Therefore, the initial effect of following it with a reinforcer can be easily seen. Secondly, a key peck is a behavior whose rate can change over a wide range. The increasing rate of the behavior with increasing experience is also an increasing probability of that behavior per unit time. Rate is, therefore, taken as a measure of response strength. Response rate increases asymptotically to a level determined by the reinforcer. Weaker reinforcers maintain behavior at lower rates, while large reinforcers maintain behavior at a higher rate.

   It’s reasonable to ponder in what way does pecking faster indicate stronger knowledge. They key to answering this questions is that it doesn’t matter. It is the change in behavior that we are trying to understand “what is the associative process and how does it work”?

   i. Graphical Display Technology for Understanding Changes in Rate: The Cumulative Record

   The cumulative record of the behavior of an organism is time on the x and cumulative total responses on the y. This produces a line whose angle represents rate, instantaneous changes in rate are easily seen because they deviate from smooth functions. The cumulative recorder was a device to plot the changes in rate across time. Traditionally, the paper was about 6 inches wide so the pen was reset
about every 500 responses. The step pen could be piped to represent one event (usually reinforcement) while the event pen could only pip and was at the bottom and was used to indicate responses to another key or stimulus procedures. The cumulative recorder is rarely used anymore.

**g. IRT Distribution**

A different metric, which provides different insights, is available to measure behavior. Rather than cumulating responses across time, the inverse could be done. The time between each response could be measured and analyzed. Short IRTs indicate high rate. Long IRTs indicate low rate. IRTs are especially important if the action of reinforcers is thought to act by way of reinforcing responses closely following prior responses as in "molecular" theories of reinforcement.

**i. Graphical Display Technology for Understanding Changes in IRTs: Dot Plots**

**h. Other**

**Instrumental Foundations**

- goal directed
- must experimentally manipulate

- backward talk
- must experimentally manipulate
- must respond first

**Early Investigations**

- Thorndike - habit / law of effect

**General Background**

1. there are instinctual S#-R#
2. there are learned habits (i.e., S-R) typing, smoking, etc.
Experiment
1. learning was slow - therefore cannot be ideas and must be S-R
2. learning if and only if it has an effect
   a. must be hungry
   b. must give food
3. it is not that we choose pleasure but rather that those responses followed by pleasure are learned or recur. Spencer via Hobbes and Locke

Thorndike was
   empirical not rational
   behavior not mental internals
   make the SR (behavior) connection fundamental rather than neurological models

Modern Approaches to the Study of Instrumental

Discrete Trial Methods
atom - free rate
   abstract

Free Operant Method

Acquisition
shaping
Response Rate as a Measure of Operant Behavior
Moment to moment
x
x

Cumulative Recorder
tool
x
x

Behavior Baseline Technique
baseline
single subject designs
x
x

Instrumental Conditioning Procedure
+stim -stim
rate increase rate decrease
positive contingency negative contingency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>negative reinforcement</th>
<th>negative punishment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>escape</td>
<td>omission</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

x
x

Positive Reinforcement
x
x

Punishment
x
x

Negative Reinforcement
x
x

Omission Training
x
x
Final Note on Terminology

Fundamental Elements of Instrumental Conditioning

Instrumental Response
possibly shape and maintain variable

Response Constraints on Instrumental Conditioning
belongingness
some R with some S*
instinctual drift

Behavior Systems Approach to Constraints on Instrumental Conditioning
prelearned across evolution
testable via observation
testable via classical conditioning

Instrumental Reinforcer

Quantity and Quality of the Reinforcer
increase reinforcement
increase rate
Shifts in Quality or Quantity

Response Reinforcer Relation
Temporal contiguity
Response reinforcer Contingency

Effects of temporal contiguity
delay of reinforcement contiguity, and cond S*

other things happen which get reinforced
Lieberman, McIntosh, & Thomas (1979)
Handled immediately after response learned response with delay not handed immediately after response not learn it

**Response Reinforcer Contingency**
If and only if response --> food but has to be some limit

**Skinner’s Superstition Experiment**
given every 15 seconds thrust head in upper corner head down with tossing response

**Reinterpretation of Superstition**
Staddon & Simmelhag terminal responses interim responses behavior system foraging system what behavior governed by system activated

**Direct Detection of Response Outcome Contingency**
80-90% accurate in detecting causality I’m not impressed if change when pecking --> peck left if change when no pecking --> peck right

**Effects of the Control of Reinforcers**
"learned helplessness effect"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expose</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E escapable shock</td>
<td>escape avoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y yoked inescapable shock</td>
<td>escape avoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R restricted to apparatus</td>
<td>escape avoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E&gt;Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E=R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

learned helplessness hypothesis
animal learn shock independent of behavior
animals learn behavior independent of outcome
"CS" preexposure effect

Alternative 1: learn to be inactive

Alternative 2: learn to pay less attention to actions
if marker is added to behavior in conditioning phase, then deficit is abolished

Alternative 3: E group could learn more
Escape training facilities learning subsequent follow ons of response come to be conditioned by "relief" of shock free period which conditioning to background - yoke group have conditioned fear to situation

brief signal after shock abolished the Y group retardation
x
x